

Antagonism of *Trichoderma* spp. from the Peruvian Central Rainforest on *Phytophthora capsici* and Effects on Plant Growth

Antagonismo de *Trichoderma* spp. de la Selva Central Peruana frente a *Phytophthora capsici* y su Efectos en el Crecimiento de las Plantas

Teves, P.¹, Felix, Y.¹, Gonzales, F.¹, León, G.¹, Honorio, K.¹, Llanos, A.², Gonzales, M.^{2*}

¹ Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, Faculty of Agronomy, Av. La Molina S/N, Lima-Perú

² Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, Faculty of Agronomy, Plant Pathology Department, Av. La Molina S/N, Lima-Perú.

*Corresponding author: mcgonzales@lamolina.edu.pe
*<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8869-0795>



Abstract

The genus *Trichoderma* is primarily recognized for its role as a biocontrol agent against various plant pathogens. Among the actions exhibited by this genus is its activity against *Phytophthora capsici* (P.c.), the causal agent of crown rot, foliar blight, and fruit rot in pepper cultivation. This study evaluated the antagonistic activity of *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* against *P. capsici*. These species, isolated from the Peruvian central rainforest, were previously identified through morphological and molecular methods. The research was conducted in two phases: 1) In the *in vitro* phase, the treatments included isolates of *T. koningiopsis*, *T. lentiforme*, and *T. harzianum*, each tested both individually and against *P. capsici*, along with a positive control (+P.c.); 2) For the *in vivo* phase a negative control (-P.c.) was included. The *in vitro* antagonism evaluation featured a dual plate confrontation assay between *Trichoderma* spp. and *P. capsici* on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium, wherein the percentage of radial growth inhibition (PRGI) and growth rate were assessed. In the greenhouse, bell pepper seedlings cv 'Piquillo' were inoculated with 20 mL of a *Trichoderma* spp. conidia suspension (10⁸ spores/mL) at transplanting, 14, and 28 days after transplanting (dat). *P. capsici* was inoculated at 28 dat near the base of the plant using three grains (0.27 g) of previously colonized wheat. The experiments followed a completely randomized design (CRD) with Tukey's mean comparison test at a 0.05 significance level. The evaluated variables included plant height (cm), incidence (%), severity (%), fresh weight (g), and dry weight (g). The *in vitro* antagonism results showed that *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* exhibited PRGI values of 38.08 % and 37.91 %, respectively, against *P. capsici*. In the greenhouse assay, the bell pepper plants co-inoculated with *T. lentiforme* and *P. capsici* exhibited higher values in plant height (21.11 cm), root length (11.02 cm), and fresh weight (1.4 g). Additionally, the degree of foliar and root severity in all treatments with *Trichoderma* spp. and *P. capsici* was lower (Grade 0 to Grade 2), unlike the treatment inoculated solely with the pathogen (Grade 3 to Grade 4). In conclusion, this study demonstrates the potential of *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* as biocontrol agents against *P. capsici* in pepper crops.

Keywords: *Trichoderma koningiopsis*, *Trichoderma lentiforme*, *Trichoderma harzianum*

Resumen

El género *Trichoderma* es reconocido principalmente por su papel como agente de biocontrol contra diversos patógenos vegetales. Entre las acciones exhibidas por este género se encuentra su actividad contra *Phytophthora capsici* (P.c.), el agente causal de la podredumbre de la corona, el tizón foliar y la podredumbre del fruto en el cultivo del pimiento. En este estudio se evaluó la actividad antagonista de *T. koningiopsis* y *T. lentiforme* frente

How to cite this article:

Teves, P., Felix, Y., Gonzales, F., León, G., Honorio, K., Llanos, A., Gonzales, M. (2024). Antagonism of *Trichoderma* spp. from the Peruvian Central Rainforest on *Phytophthora capsici* and Effects on Plant Growth. *Peruvian Journal of Agronomy*, 8(1), 30-43. <https://doi.org/10.21704/pja.v8i1.1982>

sobre *P. capsici*. Estas especies, aisladas de la selva central peruana, fueron previamente identificadas mediante métodos morfológicos y moleculares. La investigación se realizó en dos fases: 1) En la fase in vitro, los tratamientos incluyeron aislados de *T. koningiopsis*, *T. lentiforme* y *T. harzianum*, cada uno probado individualmente y contra *P. capsici*, junto con un control positivo (+P.c.); 2) Para la fase in vivo se incluyó un control negativo (-P.c.). La evaluación del antagonismo in vitro consistió en un ensayo de confrontación en placa doble entre *Trichoderma* spp. y *P. capsici* en medio Agar Papa Dextrosa (PDA), en el que se evaluó el porcentaje de inhibición del crecimiento radial (PRGI) y la tasa de crecimiento. En el invernadero, las plántulas de pimiento cv 'Piquillo' se inocularon con 20 mL de una suspensión de conidios de *Trichoderma* spp. (108 esporas/mL) al trasplante, 14 y 28 días después del trasplante (dat). *P. capsici* se inoculó a los 28 dat cerca de la base de la planta utilizando tres granos (0.27 g) de trigo previamente colonizado. Los experimentos siguieron un diseño completamente aleatorizado (DCA) con la prueba de comparación de medias de Tukey a un nivel de significación de 0.05. Las variables evaluadas incluyeron altura de planta (cm), incidencia (%), severidad (%), peso fresco (g) y peso seco (g). Los resultados de antagonismo in vitro mostraron que *T. koningiopsis* y *T. lentiforme* exhibieron valores de PRGI de 38.08 % y 37.91 %, respectivamente, contra *P. capsici*. En el ensayo en invernadero, las plantas de pimiento co-inoculadas con *T. lentiforme* y *P. capsici* mostraron valores superiores en altura de planta (21.11 cm), longitud de raíz (11.02 cm) y peso fresco (1.4 g). Además, el grado de severidad foliar y radicular en todos los tratamientos con *Trichoderma* spp. y *P. capsici* fue menor (Grado 0 a Grado 2), a diferencia del tratamiento inoculado únicamente con el patógeno (Grado 3 a Grado 4). En conclusión, este estudio demuestra el potencial de *T. koningiopsis* y *T. lentiforme* como agentes de biocontrol contra *P. capsici* en cultivos de pimiento.

Palabras clave: *Trichoderma koningiopsis*, *Trichoderma lentiforme*, *Trichoderma harzianum*

Introduction

The *Capsicum* genus, belonging to the Solanaceae family, includes many important vegetables that are cultivated worldwide. Its importance lies in its applications in spices, medicine, ornamental purposes, and food preparation (Hulse et al., 2016; Quiñones et al., 2022). Over 350

varieties of this genus are cultivated in Peru, including crops such as paprika, yellow chili, rocoto, piquillo pepper, and bell pepper, with a combined national production totaling 184 000 tons (Ministerio de Desarrollo Agrario y Riego [MIDAGRI], 2021). Furthermore, in 2020, the top three exported species were paprika (28 %), piquillo pepper (22 %), and bell pepper (6 %) (Redagráfica, 2020). In 2022, the major export destinations were North America (63.2 %) and Europe (30.5 %) (Quintanilla, 2024).

Capsicum production is threatened by various soilborne pathogens, including those causing 'wilt' such as *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Phytophthora capsici*, and *Rhizoctonia solani* (Vallejo-Gutierrez et al., 2018). Among these soilborne pathogens, *P. capsici* is the causal agent of pepper wilt (Vélez-Olmedo et al., 2020). This pathogen is an oomycete, capable of infecting several plant families, such as cucurbits and solanaceous (Granke et al., 2012; Parada et al., 2021).

The symptoms caused by *P. capsici* vary depending on the plant host, the infected plant part, and environmental conditions (Lamour et al., 2012). In the *Capsicum* genus, it induces symptoms such as root rot, stem, and fruit rot, as well as leaf blight (Quispe et al., 2022; Vélez-Olmedo et al., 2020). Root infections can lead to damping off in seedlings, while in mature plants, delayed growth, wilting, and eventual death are commonly observed (Lamour et al., 2012).

The continuous search for new alternatives in biological disease control has led to an intensification in the detection of potential antagonistic microorganisms (González et al., 1999). Among these microorganisms, those naturally present in the soil can serve as potential antagonists in controlling plant root pathogens (Volynchikova & Ki, 2022). The most commonly used genera include *Rhizobium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces*, *Trichoderma*, and others (Ezziyyani et al., 2006).

Trichoderma provides many benefits in crops through multiple mechanisms of action, such as nutrient supply, phytohormone production, and antagonism against phytopathogens (Ríos, 2014). In Mexico, Andrade-Hoyos et al. (2019)

indicated that different species of *Trichoderma* were effective against *Phytophthora capsici*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, and *Rhizoctonia solani*. Additionally, a study conducted in the Dominican Republic found that *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Trichoderma asperellum* exhibited a biocontrol effect against *Fusarium*, *Phytophthora*, *Rhizoctonia*, and *Pythium* in chili cultivation (Moya, 2022). In our region, research by Huallanca & Cadenas (2014) with two species of *Trichoderma*, *T. viride* and *T. harzianum*, demonstrated the lower development of colonies of *Phytophthora capsici* but were not effective in preventing the disease, however the severity was lower than that of the witness. Furthermore, in the studies carried out by Romero et al. (2022) the effectiveness of *T. viride*, *T. harzianum* and *Bacillus subtilis* as biological controllers of *Phytophthora capsici* in three planting methods was evaluated. These results present a promising outlook for biocontrol using microorganisms that demonstrate outstanding performance without causing environmental toxicity (Volynchikova & Ki, 2022).

In agriculture, the ongoing search for antagonistic microorganisms with a high potential for effective biological control is vital to mitigate the overapplication of active ingredients to the soil. Currently, the limited alternatives available in the market for controlling *P. capsici* position biological control as an attractive option. Additionally, the diversity of soils in Peru presents a challenge for the adaptation of antagonistic microorganisms across different agroecosystems. Therefore, it is important to evaluate potential isolates of antagonistic fungi, including those of the genus *Trichoderma*, extracted from various regions and adapted to different conditions.

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiformis*, both isolated from the Peruvian central rainforest, along with a commercial strain of *T. harzianum*. The scope of the study had two objectives: i) to evaluate the efficacy of *Trichoderma* isolates under in vitro conditions by determining the percentage of radial growth inhibition (PRGI) and ii) to evaluate their efficacy under in vivo conditions by measuring biometric

parameters in capsicum plants. The present study will contribute to the analysis of these isolates as alternatives for the management of *P. capsici*, promoting sustainable management.

Materials and methods

Location

The experiment was conducted at the laboratory level (*in vitro*) and in a greenhouse (*in vivo*) (12.0799° S, 76.9510° W). Both phases were carried out at the Department of Plant Pathology's facilities at Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina (UNALM) in 2022.

Trichoderma isolates obtained from Peruvian rain forest

Each isolate of *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* was acquired from the culture collection of The Plant Disease Clinic at UNALM. These isolates, previously morphologically and molecularly identified, were obtained from soil in "El Sinain Farm" (11° 24' 15.9" S, 74° 21' 31.1" W), where cocoa (*Theobroma cacao*) was cultivated. The farm is located in San Martín de Pangoa district, Satipo Province, Junín region (Central rainforest of Peru). The isolates were preserved in 1.5 mL cryovials filled with distilled water, once filled they were double-sterilized. To activate the isolates, a portion of the mycelium was extracted from the cryovial using a sterilized wood stick in a laminar flow hood. This extracted mycelium was then placed on a Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium. The Petri dishes were incubated at 25 °C for 3 days in dark conditions. Then, mycelial plugs, measuring 0.5 cm in diameter, were taken from a region of fungal growth and transferred onto PDA. These Petri dishes were sealed with parafilm, incubated at 25 °C, and used for *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments.

Phytophthora capsici isolate

P. capsici was isolated from "Katya" tomato roots exhibiting necrosis symptoms in the province of Mala, Lima. Corn meal agar (CMA) medium supplemented with Piramycin, Ampicillin, Rifampicin, and Benomyl (PAR-B) was used to isolate the pathogen. This isolate was identified

morphologically by the UNALM Plant Disease Clinic through microscopic observations of its structures and characteristics of the colonies following the Synoptic Keys of *Phytophthora* Species by Ho (1981). Pathogenicity tests were carried out on “Katya” tomato seedlings. Preservation and activation procedures were carried out following the protocol described previously for *Trichoderma* spp. After ten days of growth of *P. capsici* in PAR-B medium, 0.5 cm diameter plugs were cut from the actively growing mycelium. These plugs were then transferred to PDA and colony growth was monitored and used for in vitro and in vivo assays.

In vitro phase

Antagonistic activity of *Trichoderma* spp. against *P. capsici*

Dual confrontation assays were conducted between *Trichoderma* spp. and *P. capsici* isolates, following the methodology described by Bell et al. (1982) with modifications. For the experiment, 9 cm diameter Petri dishes and PDA media were used. Initially, a 5-mm diameter mycelial plug of *P. capsici* was placed 0.5 cm from the edge of the Petri dish. Five days later, a 5 mm diameter mycelial plug of *Trichoderma* spp. was strategically placed 6 cm away from the phytopathogen and 0.5 cm from the edge of the Petri dish. Seven treatments were considered for this test. Each treatment had five replicates and was tested twice (Table 1).

The Petri dishes were incubated at 25 °C in darkness. Evaluations were conducted every twenty-four hours for six days. The mycelial growth of the pathogen and antagonists was

measured to determine the percentage of radial growth inhibition (PRGI). This parameter was calculated using the formula proposed by Ezziyyani et al. (2004): $PRGI = (r_1 - r_2) / r_1 \times 100$, where r_1 and r_2 represent the radius of the pathogen's mycelial growth in the control treatment and the confrontation test, respectively.

Additionally, the level of antagonism was evaluated by observing the growth of the pathogen's mycelium. The scale proposed by Bell et al. (1982) was used: Grade 1, where *Trichoderma* completely colonizes the pathogen and covers the entire surface of the medium; Grade 2, *Trichoderma* colonizes two-thirds of the surface of the medium; Grade 3, both *Trichoderma* and the pathogen colonize approximately half of the surface, with no organism dominating the other; Grade 4. shows the pathogen colonizing two-thirds of the medium's surface and appearing to resist invasion by *Trichoderma*; and Grade 5, where the pathogen completely colonizes *Trichoderma* and covers the entire surface of the medium.

In vivo phase

Preparation of *Trichoderma* inoculum

The protocol proposed by Hernández (2022) was followed with some modifications. Isolates were cultivated on Petri dishes with a PDA medium. The isolates were incubated in dark conditions at $25\text{ °C} \pm 2\text{ °C}$ for three days to stimulate mycelial growth and fungal sporulation. This was followed by exposure to constant fluorescent light for an additional three days at room temperature (Lewis & Papavizas, 1985).

Table 1. Treatments of the dual confrontation between *Trichoderma* spp. and *P. capsici*, under *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions

Treatment	Experiment condition	
	<i>in vitro</i>	<i>in vivo</i>
T1	<i>T. harzianum</i> + <i>P. capsici</i>	<i>T. harzianum</i> + <i>P. capsici</i>
T2	<i>T. koningiopsis</i> + <i>P. capsici</i>	<i>T. koningiopsis</i> + <i>P. capsici</i>
T3	<i>T. lentiforme</i> + <i>P. capsici</i>	<i>T. lentiforme</i> + <i>P. capsici</i>
T4	<i>T. harzianum</i>	<i>T. Harzianum</i>
T5	<i>T. koningiopsis</i>	<i>T. koningiopsis</i>
T6	<i>T. lentiforme</i>	<i>T. lentiforme</i>
T7	<i>P. capsici</i>	Positive Control (+P.c.)
T8	-	Negative Control (-P.c.)

After the Petri dishes with *Trichoderma* had sporulated, they were flooded with 6 mL of sterile distilled water. The Petri dishes were then scraped using a Drigalski spatula to release conidia. The resulting suspension was filtered through four layers of sterile gauze into a 1 L beaker to discard any mycelial remnants. Subsequently, 10 µL of the conidia suspension was extracted using a micropipette to determine the concentration using a Neubauer Chamber. Finally, the dilution was adjusted to a concentration of 10^8 conidia/mL.

Preparation and increase of *P. capsici* inoculum

This procedure was based on [Romero et al. \(2022\)](#) with some modifications as it will be described. For the increase in pathogen inoculum, precooked wheat grains were prepared and placed in polypropylene bags, which were then sterilized in an autoclave (15 minutes at 121 °C and 101.3 kPa).

In a laminar flow chamber, mycelial plugs of *P. capsici* growing in a PDA medium were obtained. These 5 mm plugs were extracted from the active region of mycelial growth. Subsequently, these plugs were placed inside polypropylene bags containing sterilized wheat grains. The bags with the inoculum were incubated at 25 °C and monitored until the mycelium completely invaded the substrate.

Greenhouse antagonism test

Bell pepper cv. Piquillo seeds were sown in germination trays with a sterile substrate of sphagnum moss and vermiculite (Sunshine). One seed was placed per cell and kept in darkness

for approximately five days until germination started. Subsequently, they were transferred to the greenhouse. After 45 d of growth, the seedlings were transplanted into 2 kg capacity pots containing agricultural soil, sand, and compost mixed at a ratio of 1:2:1, previously sterilized in the autoclave for 30 min at 121 °C and 101.3 kPa. The temperature was recorded daily during the experiment with an average of 22.5° C with a range of 15 °C, and 30 °C. This process was repeated twice.

The inoculation technique was adapted from [Eraso et al. \(2014\)](#) for this study. Three inoculations were conducted with each *Trichoderma* isolate according to the described treatments ([Table 2](#)). Initially, inoculation occurred during transplanting, utilizing a drench method with 20 mL of a suspension containing 10^8 conidia per milliliter per seedling. Subsequent inoculations were conducted at 14 and 21 days after transplanting (dat), employing the same procedure as mentioned earlier. Pathogen inoculation was carried out at 21 dat, 30 min after the third inoculation of *Trichoderma* isolates. The inoculation involved adding three grains of wheat colonized by the pathogen's hyphae at the root level of each seedling. Each experimental unit was considered for one plant. After inoculation, the pots were consistently watered every three days.

Seven days after the inoculation with *P. capsici* the assessment of foliar severity was conducted by observing secondary symptoms and classified according to the scale described by [Romero et al. \(2022\)](#). Furthermore, at the end of the experiment, root severity was assessed using the scale proposed by [Kim & Hwang \(1992\)](#) ([Table](#)

Table 2. Severity scale of leaf and root in the confrontation assay of *Trichoderma* spp. and *P. capsici* in pepper plants

Grade	Foliar ^a	Root ^b	
	Description	Description	% Severity
0	Healthy root, healthy vigorous plant	Healthy plant	0
1	Dark brown color starting to appear on the stem or brown lesions on the leaves	Leaf epinasty	>0-30
2	Dark roots with slightly very small lesions on the stem	Pronounced epinasty	> 30-50
3	Dark root, large lesions on the stem	Epinasty plus early leaf fall	> 50-70
4	Dark root, large lesions on the stem, entire plant wilted and growth halted	Severe leaf loss	> 70-90
5	Dead plant	Dead plant	> 90-100

^a Adapted from [Romero et al. \(2022\)](#); ^b Adapted from [Kim & Hwang \(1992\)](#).

2). Other variables were also recorded, such as plant height, number of leaves, root length, as well as total fresh and dry weight.

Statistical analysis

For both *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments, a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) was applied, and Tukey's mean comparison test with a significance level of 0.05 was conducted using the statistical software InfoStat. The *in vitro* assay comprised seven treatments, each with five replications (i.e., five Petri dishes), while the *in vivo* phase involved eight treatments, also with five replications (i.e., five pots).

Results and discussions

Percentage of radial growth inhibition (PRGI)

All *Trichoderma* spp. species demonstrated PRGI values ranging from 36 % to 38 % and 50 % to 51 % against *P. capsici* at three and seven days after the assay started, respectively. *T. harzianum* exhibited the lowest PRGI values against *P. capsici*, obtaining 36.05 % and 50.02 % on the third and seventh days of pathogen colony growth, respectively. In both *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* against *P. capsici*, the antagonist exhibited higher PRGI values compared to other treatments, reaching 38.08 % and 37.91 % at three days, and 51.60 % and 51.47 % on the seventh day, respectively. PRGI values obtained with *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* showed no statistical differences between them, but both showed differences with *T. harzianum*. Regarding antagonistic activity, significant differences were observed among the treatments. *T. lentiforme* exhibited a grade 1 in its antagonistic capacity (100 %), showing significant differences with *T. koningiopsis* (66.67 %) and *T. harzianum* T22 (59.96 %), which obtained a grade 2 (Table 3).

These results differ from those found by Mousumi (2019), where, four days after

the confrontation between *P. capsici* and *T. harzianum*, PRGI values of 70.9 % \pm 0.3 % and 100 % were obtained when applying 0.1 mg/ μ L and 0.25 mg/ μ L of the antagonist, respectively. Likewise, total inhibition was observed with *T. asperellum* strain AFP, and a value of 59.0 % \pm 0.4 % was obtained with *T. brevicompactum* using 0.25 mg/ μ L. Additionally, Andrade-Hoyos et al. (2019) obtained PRGI values of 88.25 %, 87.22 %, and 87.8 % with *T. asperellum*, *T. viride*, and *T. harzianum*, respectively, against *P. capsici*, showing no significant differences among them.

The inhibition of *Trichoderma* species evaluated on *P. capsici* can be explained by Romo & Ávila (2000) as cited by Eraso et al. (2014), who mentioned that this genus presents antifungal and antibacterial properties, as well as extracellular enzymes that degrade the host's cell walls, facilitating their penetration. However, the antagonistic action depends on the production of extracellular enzymes corresponding to the composition and structure of the cell walls of the parasitized fungi (Infante & Martínez, 2019). Although our study did not include microscopic observations to understand the mechanism of the antagonist on the pathogen, we observed *Trichoderma koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* hyphal growth in all treatments on the *P. capsici* mycelium at a macroscopic level. Notably, *T. koningiopsis* and *T. lentiforme* exhibited sporulation, with the latter being particularly abundant, potentially supporting its hyperparasitic ability (Sandoval & López, 2001; Swapan et al., 2022).

Effect on morphological variables

Plant height and number of leaves

The evaluation was conducted on 45 dat. *T. lentiforme* vs. *P. capsici* exhibited the greatest height at 21.11 cm, followed by *T. koningiopsis* vs. *P. capsici* with 18.24 cm. Both treatments showed significant differences compared to

Table 3. Antagonistic capacity of *Trichoderma* spp. against *P. capsici* in dual confrontation tests.

Treatment	% PRGI ^a				ACG ^b
	3 dai ^c	ds	7 dai	ds	
<i>T. harzianum</i> v.s <i>P. capsici</i>	36,05 b	1.06	50.02 b	0.83	2 b
<i>T. koningiopsis</i> v.s <i>P. capsici</i>	38,08 a	0.73	51.60 a	0.57	2 b
<i>T. lentiforme</i> v.s <i>P. capsici</i>	37,91 a	0.56	51.47 a	0.44	1 a

^a % PRGI: Percentage Relative Growth Inhibition; ^b ACG: Antagonistic Capacity Grades
^cdai: days after inoculation

the negative control (-P.c.) and positive control (+P.c.). The treatment *Trichoderma* spp. vs. *P. capsici* obtained greater plant heights compared to treatments in which only *Trichoderma*, without the presence of *P. capsici*, were applied. Among the applications where only the antagonists were used, *T. lentiforme* and *T. koningiopsis* showed the highest (15.31 cm) and lowest (10.71 cm) values, respectively. *T. koningiopsis* did not exhibit statistical differences compared to the negative control (-P.c.). Regarding the number of leaves, *Trichoderma* spp. vs. *P. capsici* did not show statistical differences among treatments. However, they showed significant differences compared to the positive control (+P.c.), which only had two leaves (Table 4).

The effect of *Trichoderma* spp. on plant height could be explained by Olmedo & Casas (2014) and Harman et al. (2004), who mentioned that this genus induces the production of growth hormones in plants, which results in better vegetal development. The results of our study are similar to those found by Candelerio et al. (2015), who observed in *Capsicum chinense* that some isolates of *Trichoderma* spp. showed a significant effect on plant growth. Additionally, Danger et al. (2000), as cited by Candelerio et al. (2015), reported increases in the height of *Solanum lycopersicum* seedlings from 9 % to 11 % compared to the negative control through the application of *T. harzianum*. Similarly, Cruz & Cisterna (1998) mentioned that *T. harzianum* increased plant height compared to the positive control (+P.c.) in pepper cultivation under greenhouse conditions.

In terms of leaf count, other studies have reported that maize plants inoculated with strains of *T. spirale* and *T. melanomagnum* exhibited a

higher leaf count (Cuenca et al., 2022). Similar findings were reported by Cruz & Cisterna (1998). They concluded that *T. harzianum* not only contributes to an increase in the number of leaves but also enhances the length and dry weight of roots and leaves. Furthermore, it increases the leaf area, stem diameter, and the number of flowers.

Root length

In the *in vivo* assay, it was observed that the root lengths of plants inoculated with *T. lentiforme* and *T. koningiopsis* against *P. capsici* were the highest, showing an average of 11.02 cm and 9.52 cm, respectively. Additionally, these treatments exhibited statistically significant differences compared to the others (Table 4). On the other hand, the root lengths of plants inoculated solely with *Trichoderma* spp. did not exhibit statistically significant differences among themselves or compared to the negative control (-P.c.). These findings contrast with those reported by Nawaz et al. (2018), who investigated nine *Trichoderma* species and observed greater root lengths compared to the negative control (-P.c.). Furthermore, a study conducted by Rodríguez & Vargas (2022) demonstrated that plants inoculated with *T. asperelloides* displayed significantly longer root lengths compared to commercially available strains of *T. guizhouense* and *T. asperellum*, with differences of 11 cm and 24 cm, respectively. These results suggest that certain *Trichoderma* isolates may exhibit greater efficacy in promoting plant root growth under greenhouse conditions compared to commercial isolates, a phenomenon that was only observed in our investigation when the plants were inoculated with the antagonist and the pathogen.

Table 4. Effect of *Trichoderma* spp on plant height (cm), number of leaves, and root length.

Treatment	Plant height	ds	N° of leaves	ds	Root length	ds
<i>T. harzianum</i> v.s <i>P. capsici</i>	12.89 d	0.89	4.57 ab	1.40	5.09 c	0.20
<i>T. koningiopsis</i> v.s <i>P. capsici</i>	18.24 b	3.19	5.14 a	1.46	9.52 b	2.79
<i>T. lentiforme</i> v.s <i>P. capsici</i>	21.11 a	4.30	5.00 a	1.15	11.02 a	1.39
<i>T. harzianum</i>	12.83 d	1.54	3.43 bc	1.81	4.58 c	0.31
<i>T. koningiopsis</i>	12.83 d	1.21	3.43 bc	1.00	4.58 c	0.43
<i>T. lentiforme</i>	10.71 e	1.62	3.43 bc	0.98	4.97 c	0.54
Positive Control (+P.c.)	8.27 f	0.85	2.29 c	0.49	4.38 c	0.58
Negative Control (-P.c.)	10.37 e	1.92	4.57 ab	1.13	4.90 c	0.24

Our findings suggest that the cohabitation of *Trichoderma* with the plant pathogen produces a favorable effect on root growth, contrasting with the presence of only of *Trichoderma* the antagonist. It has been noted that the interactions between *Trichoderma* spp. and plant pathogens may exhibit variability due to components of their secretion, including volatile organic compounds, cell wall-degrading enzymes, reactive oxygen species, and antimicrobial secondary metabolites. These interactions enhance plant fitness and biotic stress resilience by eliciting plant defense mechanisms, promoting growth, or mitigating pathogen pressure (Alfiky & Weisskopf, 2021). Additionally, researchers have postulated that the impact of *Trichoderma* species on root growth and plant development may be linked both to the displacement and regulation of root microflora. Moreover, studies have suggested that *Trichoderma* has potential direct effects on plant biochemistry (Wyndham et al., 1986; Harman, 2000). Hence, it is proposed that the outcomes observed in the *Trichoderma* spp. vs *P. capsici* treatments may be related to the activation of defense mechanisms or growth-promoting factors induced by the presence of the pathogen. This phenomenon underscores the existence of intricate interactions within the soil-plant-antagonist-phytopathogen system, warranting further detailed investigation.

Total fresh and dry weight

T. lentiforme and *T. koningiopsis*, each vs. *P. capsici* presented fresh weight values of 1.40 g and 1.23 g, respectively. No statistical differences were shown between these two treatments. In contrast, *T. harzianum* vs. *P. capsici* showed a significantly lower value of 0.42 g. This same trend was observed among plants inoculated solely with *Trichoderma* spp., where the highest fresh weight was obtained with *T. lentiforme*, at 1.06 g. This was followed by *T. koningiopsis* and *T. harzianum*, at 0.41 g and 0.33 g, respectively. The positive (+P.c.), and negative control (-P.c.) presented fresh weight values of 0.16 g and 0.56 g, respectively, with the former being the lowest value among the evaluated treatments (Figure 1).

Uddin et al. (2018) reported the inhibitory activity of *T. harzianum* against *P. ultimum* and *P. capsici* in tomato plants. However, unlike our results, their study observed a significant increase in the fresh weight of plants inoculated with this species. Additionally, Larios et al. (2019) reported that treatments with *Trichoderma* spp. resulted in the highest fresh and root biomass, ranging from 0.8 g to 0.12 g, with the positive control (+P.c.) significantly lower. It is worth noting the increase in fresh biomass attributed to the activity of *T. lentiforme* compared to other treatments. This indicates the stimulating potential that certain strains have on plants. Viracocha &




Figure 1. Comparison of treatments with *Trichoderma* spp., *Phytophthora capsici* on fresh and dry weight in healthy and diseased pepper plants.

Note: T1: *T. harzianum* vs *P. capsici*, T2: *T. koningiopsis* vs *P. capsici*, T3: *T. lentiforme* vs *P. capsici*, T4: *T. harzianum*, T5: *T. koningiopsis*, T6: *T. lentiforme*, T7: Positive control (+P.c.), T8: Negative control (-P.c.)

Cadena (2023), as well as Bader et al. (2020), also reported an increase in fresh weight yield in plants inoculated with *T. harzianum* compared to the positive control (+*P.c.*), with increases of 25 % and 100 %, respectively.

Concerning dry weight, the treatments exhibited no significant differences. However, the positive control (+*P.c.*) presented a lower value, at 0.11 g. These results align with those reported by Huallanca & Cadenas (2014) and Bader et al. (2020). In these studies, the *Trichoderma* treatments showed no differences, and the positive control (+*P.c.*) value was numerically lower. In contrast, Romero et al. (2017) found that the positive control (+*P.c.*) demonstrated a significantly lower dry weight compared to the other *Trichoderma* treatments. Similarly, Sid et al. (2006) reported a 68.6 % reduction in the dry weight of inoculated plants compared to the negative control (-*P.c.*).

Root and leaf severity

Root severity was evaluated at the end of the trial. Treatments *T. harzianum*, *T. koningiopsis*, and *T. lentiforme*, when confronted with *P. capsici*, exhibited a root severity percentage of approximately 40% each. On the other hand, the positive control (+*P.c.*), recorded the highest

values compared to the other treatments, reaching a severity percentage of 80 % (grade 4), showing symptoms of darkened roots, large lesions on the stem, general wilting throughout the plant, and halted growth. These results are consistent with those obtained by Romero et al. (2017), who also observed the highest severity and root incidence values in the treatment with *P. capsici* in tomato plants compared to all studied treatments. Additionally, Sid et al. (2006) mentioned that the presence of *T. harzianum* in the substrate reduced root rot caused by *P. capsici* in pepper plants. Furthermore, in a study conducted by Athafah et al. (2020), it was observed that their strain of *T. virens* managed to reduce disease severity by 64.2 % five days after inoculation, compared to the positive control (+*P.c.*), under *in vivo* conditions.

Despite the infection of *P. capsici* in treatments with *Trichoderma* spp, no secondary symptoms were observed in the aerial part (foliar severity of grade 0). In contrast, the positive control (+*P.c.*), obtained a grade 3, presenting leaf epinasty. The low level of foliar severity (Grade 0) in treatments with *Trichoderma* spp. could be explained by the control action exerted by the antagonist (Figure 2). According to a study conducted by Nawaz et al. (2018), the authors observed that the interaction between *Trichoderma* species and *P. capsici* strains affected the development of




Figure 2. Comparison of the severity in the leaf area and roots in diseased cans of pepper between treatments.

Note: T1: *T. harzianum* vs *P. capsici*, T2: *T. koningiopsis* vs *P. capsici*, T3: *T. lentiforme* vs *P. capsici*, T4: *T. harzianum*, T5: *T. koningiopsis*, T6: *T. lentiforme*, T7: Positive control (+*P.c.*), T8: Negative control (-*P.c.*)

zoospore content and hyphal lysis. Additionally, this reduction can be attributed to competition for nutrients and space, negatively impacting the pathogen's development. It has been reported that species like *T. harzianum* exhibit high cellulase activity, with this enzyme being important for the degradation of the cell walls of phytopathogenic fungi during their infection process (Cherkupally et al., 2017; Kamala & Indira, 2014).

Finally, the results obtained, along with other studies, support the potential of *Trichoderma* spp. in the control of plant pathogens. Furthermore, they underscore the need to investigate the mechanisms of action of this genus for its eventual application in an integrated crop management approach.

Conclusion

At the *in vitro* level, the isolates of *T. lentiforme* and *T. koningiopsis* obtained a PRGI of 51.47 % and 51.60 %, respectively, showing significant differences compared to *T. harzianum*, which had a value of 50.02 %. Under greenhouse conditions, treatment with *T. lentiforme* against *P. capsici*, as well as treatment with *T. lentiforme* alone, showed superior results compared to both positive (+ *P.c.*), and a negative control (- *P.c.*) in various variables, including plant height, root length, and total fresh and dry weight. These findings highlight the efficacy of *Trichoderma lentiforme* against *P. capsici* and its effect on the growth of pepper plants. Based on these results, the use of *Trichoderma* spp. strains isolated from Peruvian rainforest areas demonstrate potential in biocontrol and growth promotion in pepper cultivation, both *in vitro* and in greenhouse conditions.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Plant Disease Diagnostic Clinic and the Plant Pathology Department of UNALM for providing access to their facilities and equipment.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

Funding declaration

We thank the Vice Rectorate of Research at UNALM for funding this project.

Authors contribution

All authors contributed to all stages of manuscript preparation.

ID ORCID and e-mails

Percy Ivan Teves Anchivilca	20180079@lamolina.edu.pe	 https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6860-9982
Yovana Felix Vasquez	yovanafelix@gmail.com	 https://orcid.org/0009-0002-6179-7378
Fiorella Fernanda Gonzales Borda	20190038@lamolina.edu.pe	 https://orcid.org/0009-0005-0114-6583
Gina Rubi León Modeneci	gleon@lamolina.edu.pe	 https://orcid.org/0009-0000-3784-2859
Karen Lizbeth Honorio Quispe		 https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4946-7466
Alejandro Kepler Llanos Melo	allanos@lamolina.edu.pe	 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6032-4141
Maria del Carmen Gonzales Miranda	mcgonzales@lamolina.edu.pe	 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8869-0795

REFERENCES

- Alfiky, A., & Weisskopf, L. (2021). Deciphering *Trichoderma*–Plant–Pathogen Interactions for Better Development of Biocontrol Applications. *Journal Fungi*, 7 (1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7010061>
- Andrade-Hoyos, P., Luna-Cruz, A., Osorio-Hernández, E., Molina-Gayosso, E., Landero-Valenzuela, N., & Barrales-Cureño, H. J. (2019). Antagonismo de *Trichoderma* spp. vs hongos asociados a la marchitez de chile. *Revista mexicana de ciencias agrícolas*, 10(6), 1259-1272. <https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v10i6.1326>
- Athafah, A., Sabah, I., Li, B., & Zhang, J. (2020). A new species of *Trichoderma* and gliotoxin role: A new observation in enhancing

- biocontrol potential of *T. virens* against *Phytophthora capsici* on chili pepper. *Biological Control*, 145, 104261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104261>
- Bader, A. N., Salerno, G. L., Covacevich, F., & Consolo, F. (2020). Bioformulación de *Trichoderma harzianum* en sustrato sólido y efectos de su aplicación sobre plantas de pimiento. *Revista De La Facultad De Agronomía*, 119(1), 037. <https://doi.org/10.24215/16699513e037>
- Bell, D. K., Wells, H. D., & Markham, C. R. (1982). *In vitro* Antagonism of *Trichoderma* species Against Six Fungal Plant Pathogens. *Phytopathology*, 72(4), 379-382. <https://doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-72-379>
- Candelero, D. J., Cristóbal, A. J., Reyes, R. A., Tun, S. J. M., Gamboa, A. M. M., & Ruíz, S. E. (2015). *Trichoderma* spp. promotoras del crecimiento en plántulas de *Capsicum chinense* Jacq. y antagónicas contra *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Phyton (Buenos Aires)*, 84(1), 113-119. http://www.scielo.org.ar/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1851-56572015000100016&lng=es&tlng=es
- Cherkupally, R., Amballa, H., & Narasimba, B. (2017). *In vitro* screening for enzymatic activity of *Trichoderma* species for biocontrol potential. *Annals of Plant Sciences*. 6(11), 1784-1789. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21746/aps.2017.6.11.11>
- Cruz, M., & Cisternas, V. (1998). Control integrado de *Phytophthora capsici* en pimiento. Efecto de hongos antagonistas sobre el crecimiento de las plantas. *Agricultura Técnica*, 58(2), 81-92. <https://biblioteca.inia.cl/handle/20.500.14001/39223>
- Cuenca, J., Quevedo, J., Tuz, I., & Chabla, J. (2022). *Trichoderma* spp: Propagation, dosage, and application in maize crop (*Zea mays* L.). *Revista ciencia y agricultura*, 19(3). <https://doi.org/10.19053/01228420.v19.n3.2022.14692>
- Corvera, L. (2020, September 28). Capsicum: 2020 Las exportaciones crecen en lo que va del año. *Redagricola*. <https://redagricola.com/capsicum-2020-las-exportaciones-crecen-en-lo-que-va-del-ano/>
- Danger, L., Jiménez, C., & González, N. (2000). Efectividad de *Paecilomyces lilacinus* y *Trichoderma harzianum* sobre el crecimiento de posturas de tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) y el control de *Meloidogyne incognita* en fase de semillero. <https://catalogosiidca.csuca.org/Record/UNANI.054337>
- Eraso-Insuasty, C., Acosta-Rodríguez, J., Salazar-González, C., & Betancourth-García, C. (2014). Evaluación de cepas de *Trichoderma* spp. para el manejo del amarillamiento de arveja por *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Ciencia y Tecnología Agropecuaria*, 15(2), 237-249. <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=449945182005>
- Ezziyyani, M., Pérez Sánchez, C., Requena, M. E., Rubio, L., & Candela-Castillo, M. E. (2004). Biocontrol por *Streptomyces Rochei-Ziyan*, de la podredumbre del pimiento (*Capsicum annum*) causada por *Phytophthora capsici*. *Anales de Biología*, (26), 61-68. Recovered from: <https://revistas.um.es/analesbio/article/view/30471>
- Ezziyyani, M., Sid-Ahmed, C., Pérez-Sanchez, M. E., Requena, M., & Candela M. (2006). Control biológico por microorganismos antagonistas. *Revista Horticultura*, 191(3), 8-15. https://www.horticom.com/revistasonline/horticultura/rh191/08_15.pdf
- Granke, L. L., Quesada-Ocampo, L., Lamour, K., & Hausbeck, M. K. (2012). Advances in research on *Phytophthora capsici* on vegetable crops in the United States. *Plant disease*. 96,1588-1600. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-02-12-0211-FE>
- Harman, G. E. (2000). Myths and dogmas of biocontrol. Changes in perceptions derived from research on *Trichoderma harzianum* T-22. *Plant Disease*. 84, 377-393. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2000.84.4.377>

- Harman, G., Howell, C. R., Viterbo, A., Chet, I., & Lorito, M. (2004). *Trichoderma* species opportunistic, avirulent plant symbionts. *Nat Rev. Microbiol.* 2, 43-56. <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=61222115>
- Hernández, P. B. (2022) Caracterización de aislados nativos de *Trichoderma* spp para el control de hongos de suelo. [Master thesis, Universidad Nacional Agraria]. UNAN repository.
- Ho, H. (1981). Synoptic Keys to the Species of *Phytophthora*. *Mycologia*, 73(4), 705. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/271781949_Synoptic_Keys_to_the_Species_of_Phytophthora
- Huallanca, C. A., & Cadenas, C. A. (2014). Control de *Phytophthora capsici* Leonian en *Capsicum annum* cv. Papri king con fungicidas, fertilizantes y biocontroladores. *Anales Científicos*, 75(1), 130-137. <https://doi.org/10.21704/ac.v75i1.943>
- Hulse-Kemp, A., Ashrafi, H., Plieske, J., Lemm, J., Stoffel, K., Hill, T., Luerssen, H., Pethiyagoda, C. L., Lawley, C. T., Ganai, M. W., & Van Deynze, A. (2016). A HapMap leads to a *Capsicum annum* SNP infinium array: a new tool for pepper breeding. *Horticulture research.*, 3, 16036. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hortres.2016.36>
- Infante, D., & Martínez, B. (2019). Actividad antagónica de *Trichoderma asperellum* Samuels, Lieckfeldt & Nirenberg sobre aislados de diferentes grupos anastomóticos de *Rhizoctonia solani* Kühn. *Revista de Protección Vegetal*, 34(2), 1–7. http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1010-27522019000200008&lng=es&tlng=es
- Kamala, T., & Indira S. (2014). Molecular characterization of *Trichoderma harzianum* strains from Manipur and their biocontrol potential against *Pythium ultimum*. *Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci*, 3(7), 258–270. <https://www.ijcmas.com/vol-3-7/Th.Kamala%20and%20S.Indira.pdf>
- Kim, E., & Hwang, B. (1992). Virulence to Korean pepper cultivars of isolates of *Phytophthora capsici* from different geographic areas. *Plant disease*, 76, 486-489. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-76-0486>
- Lamour, K., Stam, R., Jupe, J., & Huitema, E. (2012). The oomycete broad-host-range pathogen *Phytophthora capsici*. *Mol Plant Pathol.* 13(4), 329–337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1364-3703.2011.00754.x>
- Larios, E., Valdovinos, J., Chan W., García, F., Manzo G., & Buenrostro M. (2019). Biocontrol De Damping off y promoción del Crecimiento Vegetativo en Plantas de *Capsicum chinense* (Jacq) con *Trichoderma* spp. *Revista Mexicana De Ciencias Agrícolas*, 10(3), 471-483. <https://doi.org/10.29312/remexca.v10i3.332>
- Lewis, J. A., & Papavizas, G. C. (1985). Characteristics of alginate pellets formulated with *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* and their effect on the proliferation of the fungi in soil. *Plant Pathology*, 34(4), 571–577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.1985.tb01409.x>
- Ministerio de Desarrollo Agrario y Riego [MIDAGRI] (2021). *Perú cuenta con más de 350 variedades de ajíes, rocotos y pimientos registradas y cultivadas en 24 regiones*. <https://www.gob.pe/institucion/midagri/noticias/514940-midagri-peru-cuenta-con-mas-de-350-variedades-de-ajies-rocotos-y-pimientos-registradas-y-cultivadas-en-24-regiones>
- Mousumi Das, M., Haridasb, M., & Sabu, A. (2019). Biological control of black pepper and ginger pathogens, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Phytophthora capsici*, using *Trichoderma* spp. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 17, 177–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2018.11.021>
- Moya, J. D., García, S., Morel, M., Avilés, E., Núñez, P., & Matos, L. (2022). Efectividad de cepas nativas de *Trichoderma* spp. en el control de *Fusarium*, *Phytophthora*, *Rhizoctonia* y *Pythium* en ají (*Capsicum*

- annuum* L.) en ambiente protegido. *APF. Revista Agropecuaria Forestal*, 10(2), 41–56. <https://www.sodiaf.org.do/apf/index.php/apf/article/view/129>
- Nawaz, K., Shahid, A., Bengyella, L., Subhani, M., Ali, M., Anwar, W., Iftikhar, S., & Ali, S. (2018). Diversity of *Trichoderma* species in chili rhizosphere that promote vigor and antagonism against virulent *Phytophthora capsici*. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 239, 242–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.05.048>
- Olmedo, V., & Casas, S. (2014). Molecular Mechanisms of Biocontrol in *Trichoderma* spp. and their Applications in Agriculture. *Biotechnology and Biology of Trichoderma*, 429–453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59576-8.00032-1>
- Parada-Rojas, C., Granke, L., Naegele, R., Hansen, Z., Hausbeck, M., Kousik, C., McGrath, M., Smart, C., & Quesada-Ocampo, L. (2021). A diagnostic guide for *Phytophthora capsici* infecting vegetable crops. *Plant Health Progress*, 22(3), 404–414. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PHP-02-21-0027-FI>
- Quintanilla, O. I. (2024). Panorama del Mercado Internacional y Nacional de Capsicum. *Centro de Investigación de Economía y Negocios Globales (CIEN)*. <https://www.cien.adexperu.org.pe/panorama-del-mercado-internacional-y-nacional-de-capsicum/>
- Quiñones-Díaz, X. E., Muñoz-Concha, D., & Nuñez-Carrasco, L. (2022). El cultivo del ají (*Capsicum* spp.) como patrimonio cultural campesino: análisis exploratorio. *RIVAR*, 9(26), 89–106. <https://doi.org/10.35588/rivar.v9i26.5531>
- Quispe-Quispe, E., Moreira-Morrillo, A., & Garcés-Fiallos, F. (2022). A review about biocontrollers of *Phytophthora capsici* and its impact on *Capsicum* plants: A perspective from outside to inside the plant. *Scientia Agropecuaria*, 13(3), 275–289. <https://dx.doi.org/10.17268/sci.agropecu.2022.025>
- Ríos, R. E. L. (2014). Caracteres principales, ventajas y beneficios agrícolas que aporta el uso de *Trichoderma* como control biológico. *Revista Científica Agroecosistemas*, 2(1). <https://aes.ucf.edu.cu/index.php/aes/article/view/40>
- Rodríguez, D., & Vargas, J. (2022). Efecto de la inoculación con *Trichoderma* sobre el crecimiento vegetativo del tomate (*Solanum lycopersicum*). *Agronomía Costarricense*, 46(2), 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.15517/rac.v46i2.52045>
- Romero, O. R., Amaro, J. L., Huato, M. Á., Ita, M. D., Rivera, A. A., & Lara, M. H. (2017). Biopreparados de *Trichoderma* spp. para el control biológico de *Phytophthora capsici* en el cultivo de tomate de Puebla, México. *Itea-informacion Tecnica Economica Agraria*, 113, 313–324. <https://doi.org/10.12706/itea.2017.019>
- Romero, V., Aragón, L., Casas, A., & Apaza, W. (2022). Efficiency of *Trichoderma viride* as a biocontrol agent for *Phytophthora capsici* in Pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Peruvian Journal of Agronomy*, 6(3), 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.21704/pja.v6i3.1975>
- Sandoval, I., & López, M. (2001). Hiperparasitismo de *Trichoderma harzianum*, *T. viride* y *T. pseudokoningii* sobre diferentes hongos fitopatógenos. *Fitosanidad*, 5(1), 40–41. <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=209118258010>
- Sid, A., Pérez, C., Egea, C., & Candela, M. (2006). Evaluation of *Trichoderma harzianum* for controlling root rot caused by *Phytophthora capsici* on pepper plants. *Plant Pathology*, 48(1), 58–65. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.1999.00317.x>
- Swapan, K., Sujoy, P., & Subhankar, B. (2022). Identification and pathogenicity of *Alternaria alternata* causing leaf blight of *Bacopa monnieri* (L.) Wettst. and its biocontrol by *Trichoderma* species in agrifields -an ecofriendly approach.

Journal of Applied Research on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, 31, 100406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmap.2022.100406>

- Uddin, M., Rahman, U., Khan, W., Uddin, N., & Muhammad, M. (2018). Effect of *Trichoderma harzianum* on tomato plant growth and its antagonistic activity against *Phythium ultimum* and *Phytophthora capsici*. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control*, 28, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-018-0032-5>
- Vallejo-Gutiérrez, A. J., Mejía-Carranza, J., García-Velasco, R., & Ramírez-Gerardo, M. G. (2018). Response of *Capsicum pubescens* genotypes to damage caused by the fungal wilt complex. *Mexican Journal of Phytopathology*, 37(1). <http://dx.doi.org/10.18781/R.MEX.FIT.1809-3>
- Vélez-Olmedo, J., Saltos, L., Corozo, L., Samay, B., Vélez-Zambrano, S., Arteaga, F., García, M., & Pinho, D. (2020). First Report of *Phytophthora capsici* Causing Wilting and Root and Crown Rot on *Capsicum annuum* (Bell Pepper) in Ecuador. *Plant Disease*, 14(7), <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-11-19-2432-PDN>
- Viracocha, P., & Cadena, F. (2023). Incorporación de *Trichoderma harzianum* para la reducción del ataque de la tristeza del pimiento (*Phytophthora capsici*). *Revista De Investigación E Innovación Agropecuaria y de Recursos Naturales*, 10(3), 56-63. <https://doi.org/10.53287/iymb3882sv61c>
- Volynchikova, E., & Ki, D. K. (2022). Biological Control of Oomycete Soilborne Diseases Caused by *Phytophthora capsici*, *Phytophthora infestans*, and *Phytophthora nicotianae* in Solanaceous Crops. *Mycobiology*, 50(5), 269-293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12298093.2022.2136333>
- Wyndham, M. T., Elad, Y., & Baker, R. (1986). A mechanism for increased plant growth induced by *Trichoderma* spp. *Phytopathology*, 76(5), 518-539. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/Phyto-76-518>